

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/768,429 HOOD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Anne R. Kubelik	<b>Art Unit</b> 1638

All Participants:

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven Callistein.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2005

Time: 3:15pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:

#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant approved the , , , examiner's amendment*

#### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)